

Dan Luksetich is a senior DB2 DBA consultant. He works as a DBA, application architect, presenter, author, and teacher. Dan has been in the information technology business for over 30 years, and has worked with DB2 for over 25 years. He has been a COBOL and BAL programmer, DB2 system programmer, DB2 DBA, and DB2 application architect. His experience includes major implementations on z/OS, AIX, i Series, and Linux environments.

Dan's experience includes:

Application design and architecture Database administration Complex SQL SQL tuning DB2 performance audits Replication Disaster recovery Stored procedures, UDFs, and triggers Dan works everyday on some of the largest and most complex DB2 implementations in the world. He is a certified DB2 DBA, system administrator, and application developer, and has work on the teams that have developed the DB2 for z/OS certification exams. He is the author of several DB2 related articles as well as co-author of the DB2 9 for z/OS Certification Guide and the DB2 10 for z/OS Certification Guide.







Dan Luksetich is a data scientist and senior DB2 DBA consultant. He works as a DBA, application architect, presenter, author, and teacher. Dan has been in the information technology business for over 29 years, and has worked with DB2 for over 24 years. He has been a COBOL and BAL programmer, DB2 system programmer, DB2 DBA, and DB2 application architect. His experience includes major implementations on z/OS, AIX, i Series, Windows, and Linux environments.

Dan's experience includes application design and architecture, business analytics, SQL consulting and education, database administration, complex SQL, SQL tuning, DB2 performance audits, replication, disaster recovery, stored procedures, UDFs, and triggers. Dan works everyday on some of the largest and most complex DB2 implementations in the world. He is a certified DB2 DBA for DB2 for z/OS and LUW, system administrator for z/OS, and application developer, and has worked on the teams that have developed the DB2 for z/OS certification exams. He is the author of several DB2 related articles as well as co-author of the DB2 9 for z/OS Certification Guide and the DB2 10 for z/OS Certification Guide.

Dan is an IBM Gold Consultant, IBM Champion for Data Management, and the chairman of the International DB2 Users Group Content Committee.



No animals were harmed during testing



What is query transformation?	Database Consulting
 Process that happens before optimization Part of optimization (in my opinion) Different programming teams within DB2 development QST (query transformation)/parser APS (Access Path Selection – internally referred to as optimizer) Post-optimizer/parallelism ASLGEN Structure Gen Runtime 	
 Query transformation concepts Parse query Look up DB2 objects Read statistics Rewrite query for submission to optimizer Transform queries to special DB2 objects Materialized query table Temporal table View	
8/16/2015 © 2015 DanL Database Consulting - presented August 2015	7

When most people talk about the processing of DB2 SQL statements they speak of the DB2 optimizer. What many don't realize is that before the query passes through the optimizer it first passes through something called query transformation. This component of the DB2 engine is critical to performance, and a vital part of query processing. In this presentation we are only going to talk about the impact of query transformation on SQL statements that read data. However, the query transformation component of DB2 does so much more.

It is important to understand query transformation because it is a separate piece of code from the optimizer, owned by a separate development team within DB2 development, and the first component to manage your queries as you send them in to be processed.



As a query is submitted to DB2, either dynamically at execution time or statically during the bind process, it passes through the query transformation component of the DB2 engine. This component is going to look at certain information about the objects being accessed in the query, and make certain decisions as to whether or not the query is optimal as written. If not, the query will be rewritten to present the optimizer with more optimization choices than what was originally submitted. In addition, query transformation is looking at specific DB2 objects and rules, and will rewrite a query such that proper optimization is possible. In other words it fixes your poorly written queries!



You do not have much control over the query rewrite performed by query transformation. We will, however, discuss some important issues and changes you can make to SQL statements to properly work with query transformation.

There are many things that query transformation does. In this presentation we are only touching the surface of the things that happen during query transformation. A thorough review of the DB2 performance guide and the DB2 10 performance redbook can help determine all that happens during query rewrite. Most importantly, you should be looking at rewritten queries within your organization to see for yourself what is happening.



Back in the early days of DB2 many people were talking about efficient SQL coding techniques. Back then you had to code statements a certain way in order to get them to perform properly. While that is still true today it is much less so. The SQL language is very orthogonal. This means that a statement that serves a logical purpose can be physically written in a myriad different ways. There still can be an optimal way to write a statement, but fewer and fewer people know how to do this, and many SQL statements are generated by tools. Query transformation has evolved into a very valuable component that can translate a query that serves a logical purpose into its high performing physical counterpart.



Until recently in the history of DB2 it was impossible to see the rewritten query. There existed for some time a set of "hidden" EXPLAIN tables that contained detailed information about query optimization. These tables were finally exposed to the public with DB2 version 8. To the best of my knowledge there are two tables that hold information about your rewritten queries.

The DSN_PREDICAT_TABLE contains information about predicates in a query. This includes predicates that are generated during query rewrite. Complex OR's may be rewritten to Inlists, predicates against one table could be transitively closed to another, and more. All of these predicates are listed in this table. While it is difficult to read, and IBM discourages reading this table without the use of a tool, I do have an advanced EXPLAIN table query of my own that looks at this data.

The DSN_QUERY_TABLE is another EXPLAIN table that is used by EXPLAIN tools to look at both the original and rewritten query. The information is stored as XML documents, and not at all easy to read with the human eye.



Here is the current version of my advanced EXPLAIN guery:

WITH MAXTIME (COLLID, PROGNAME, QUERYNO, EXPLAIN_TIME) AS (SELECT COLLID, PROGNAME, QUERYNO, MAX(EXPLAIN_TIME) FROM PLAN_TABLE WHERE COLLID = 'DSNESPCS' AND PROGNAME = 'DSNESM68' AND QUERYNO = 1 GROUP BY COLLID, PROGNAME, QUERYNO)

SELECT SUBSTR(P.PROGNAME, 1, 8) AS PROGNAME ,SUBSTR(DIGITS(P.QUERYNO), 6) CONCAT '-' CONCAT SUBSTR(DIGITS(P.QBLOCKNO), 4) CONCAT '-' CONCAT SUBSTR(DIGITS(P.PLANNO), 4) AS QQP ,SUBSTR(CHAR(P.METHOD), 1, 3) AS MTH

SUBSTR(CHAR(F, PREDNO), 1, 3) AS P. NO.SUBSTR(CHAR(P, MERGE, JOIN, COLS), 1, 3) AS MIC

SUBSTR(P.CREATOR, 1, 8) AS TBCREATOR, SUBSTR(P.TNAME, 1, 18) AS TBNAME, SUBSTR(P.CORRELATION NAME, 1, 8) AS CORR NM

,DEC(D.ONECOMPROWS, 10, 1) AS ROWS_POST_FILTER,P.ACCESSTYPE AS ATYP,SUBSTR(P.ACCESSNAME, 1, 15) AS A_NM

,P.INDEXONLY AS IXO ,CHAR(P.MIXOPSEQ) AS MIX,CHAR(P.MATCHCOLS) MCOL,F.STAGE AS STAGE ,DEC(E.FILTER_FACTOR, 11, 10) AS FF

,E.BOOLEAN_TERM AS BT,SUBSTR(E.TEXT, 1, 30) AS PRED_TEXT30,P.SORTN_IOIN CONCAT P.SORTC_UNIQ CONCAT

P.SORTC_JOIN CONCAT P.SORTC_ORDERBY CONCAT P.SORTC_GROUPBY AS NJ_CUJOG ,P.PREFETCH AS PF,P.COLUMN_FN_EVAL AS CFE

, P.PAGE_RANGE AS PGRNG, P.JOIN_TYPE AS JT, P.QBLOCK_TYPE AS QB_TYP, P.PARENT_QBLOCKNO AS P_QB, P. TABLE_TYPE AS TB_TYP , P.EXPLAIN_TIME AS B_TM FROM_PLAN_TABLE P INNER JOIN MAXTIME M ON_M.COLLID = P.COLLID AND_M.PROGNAME = P.PROGNAME AND_M.QUERYNO = P.QUERYNO AND_M. EXPLAIN_TIME = P. EXPLAIN_TIME

LEFT JOIN DSN_FILTER_TABLEF ON M.COLLID = F.COLLID AND M.PROGNAME = F.PROGNAMEAND M.QUERYNO = F.QUERYNO AND P.QBLOCKNO = F.QBLOCKNO AND P.PLANNO = F.PLANNO AND M. EXPLAIN_TIME = F.EXPLAIN_TIME

and P.ACCESSTYPE Not IN ('MX', 'MI', 'MU')

LEFT JOIN DSN_PREDICAT_TABLE E ON F.PROGNAME = E.PROGNAME AND F.QUERYNO = E.QUERYNO AND F.QBLOCKNO = E.QBLOCKNO AND F.PREDNO = E.PREDNO AND M. EXPLAIN_TIME = E.EXPLAIN_TIME

LEFT JOIN TABLE (SELECT MIN(X.ONECOMPROWS) AS ONECOMPROWS

FROM DSN_DETCOST_TABLE X WHERE M.PROGNAME = X.PROGNAME AND M.QUERYNO = X.QUERYNO

AND P.QBLOCKNO = X.QBLOCKNO AND P.PLANNO = X.PLANNO AND M. EXPLAIN_TIME = X.EXPLAIN_TIME) AS D ON 1=1 ORDER BY PROGNAME, B_TM, QQP, MIX, F.PREDNO;

 Contains information about EXPLAINed statemen Populated by EXPLAIN statement execution Includes the "before" and "after" query text 	ts
 As XML. Not easy for a person to read 	
SELECT QUERYNO, SEQNO, NODE_DATA FROM DSN_QUERY_TABLE WHERE QUERYNO = 111 AND QUERY_STAGE = 'AFTER' ORDER BY SEQNO	



You can see here that the rewritten query is not easy to read with the human eye. This particular example is simply from an EXPLAIN of this query

SELECT 1 FROM SYSIBM.SYSDUMMY1

The rewrite actually did nothing to the original query. However, it is still difficult to read unless you parse through the XML. That's why it's better to use a tool.

BM Data	Studio – Query Tuning	Database Consulting
 The que to input sources 	ry tuner feature of Data Studio allo SQL statements from many differe	ws you nt
 This exa as text 	ample shows a simple statement er	
IBM Query Tuning - QTProject2/Query Group 1/Query Edit Navigate Search Project Window Hel	1 - IBM Data Studio	
🕆 🗟 🙆 🔞 Task Navigator 🔹 👍	1、 (1)、 - 句、 - ゆ - ウ -	🖺 💽 IBM Query T [; »
Task Launcher @ *QTProject2/Query Grou	p 1/Query 1 23	- #
Query Tuner Workflow Assistant	Run Single-Query Advisors And Analysis Tools	0
Groups in this Project Query Group 1 Query 1	Specify DXPLAN options and runtime environment options for the query. Then, run the enabled advisors and tools, or select which of them to run. Q _k B _k G B _k 0	Â
🛔 🕰 Single Query	# EXPLAIN options and runtime environment options	
B Set Advisor Options	Query number: 111 Current degree:	
Generate Summary Report	SQLID: S8879 Current refresh age: 0 +	
Generate Access Path Report	Schema: 369/9 Current maintained table types for optimization: STSTEM Optimization hint:	
Advanced	Use upper case for the SOLID and schema	
1 Controlle	Re-EXPLAIN the query	
s inv	Run Default Advisors and Tools Select What To Run	=
	▼ Ouerv Test - Ouerv 1	
Review	SELECT 1 FROM SYSIBM.SYSDOMY1	-
a Sitteran	SELECT 1 FROM SYSIBM. SYSDONMY1	*
	SELECT 1 FROM SYSIBM.SYSUONMY1	*

IBM Data Studio is available as a free download from IBM. This tool is what was used to look at all of the rewritten queries in this presentation. This tool runs on a computer running windows or Linux and can be used to tune queries in DB2 for z/OS and DB2 for LUW. You need a remote connection to your database in order to run the tool.

This screen snap shows a simple SQL statement being input as text to Data Studio query tuning. There are many sources you can draw on to input SQL statements into the tool.

//	BN	1 [Data St	t udio	Database Consulting
•	Th sta	e o ate	query tu ments fr	ner feature allows you to input om many different sources	t SQL
•	This example our simple statement transformed				
	-	ln t	this case	it looks the same as our original sir	mple query
		Cti	idio		
		Stu	udio	10:32 AM Tuesday, September 13, 2011 2 - 38M Dets Studie 9 9 - (()) + ()) + ()) + () + () +	I Dit Cart - K
		Stu BMQ Ele Ede	Jdio terr Tang - CPRoperLiftCorry force Lifters t Brigger Search Depict Window Help C Disk Nangator - A Task Learcher C CPTProjectJ/Query force	10-32 AV Tuesday, Saytember 13, 2011 1-36M Det Studie P F (2) + (5	·····································
		Stu BMQ Ere Eat	Jdio	10.02 AV Tuesday, Saytember 12, 2011 1- BM Det Stude	Image: Second
		Stu En En	Lucio	13-3M (bits studes	the set of the se
			Lucio	1-30 (bit 2 All Treaday, Suprember 12, 201) 1-30 (bit 2 All Treaday, Suprember 12, 201) 1-30 (bit 2 All Treaday, Suprember 12, 201) 1-30 (bit 2 All Treaday)	Image:
		Stu	Lucio	1-3M (bit State)	Image:
			LIGIO	1-30 (bit State) 1-30 (bit State)	Image: Second
		Stu	Lucio Servi - C Part Temy: Chrystell Cone, Georg J Coney E devine: Sarch Dync: Worksdow A Coney Coney Coney Recommendations Coney 1 Songho Reval Coney 1 Songho Reval Coney Cone State Coney Coney Coney Recommendations Coney Coney Recommendations Coney Cone State Coney Coney Coney Recommendations Coney Coney Coney Recomme		Image: set of the set of th

Once a statement has been EXPLAINed using the tool, you can then choose to view the access path, the rewritten query, or addition query information or recommendations.

This screen snap shows the transformed query. To view this I simply hit the appropriate tab in the product.

Some Examples of Query Rewrite Concepts
Subqueries
• Views
 Nested table expressions
 CASTing data types
Transitive closure
 Union distribution
 Temporal queries
 QST Enhancements in DB2 11 for z/OS
 This is only the tip of the iceberg as to query
rewrite!
8/16/2015 © 2015 DanL Database Consulting - presented August 2015 17

As mentioned before the query transformation component of DB2 does a lot. We can only cover so much in a short period of time. So, I'm focusing on some of what I feel are significant features. Your results may vary, and I strongly recommend experimentation as the best guide to how DB2 rewrites queries.



Remember that one of the goals of query transformation is to supply the optimizer with appropriate access path choices. One of those choices is table access sequence for multi-table queries. When DB2 is bringing data together from multiple tables the most efficient access is to access the table that retrieves fewer rows first, and then access the next table, and so on.

Sometimes tables are joined by common columns, and those columns are also referenced in local predicates. DB2 can use the transitive property to create redundant predicates during query rewrite. This allows for local predicates to be propagated across tables, and thus giving the optimizer more choices for potential index access, stronger filtering, and more efficient table access sequence.



The result of any subquery can be achieved by coding that subquery, the equivalent alternate subquery, or a join.

Which is best? I don't know. It all depends upon multiple things:

- The available indexes on the tables in the query
- Whether or not a join will introduce duplicates
- The size of the tables involved
- The size of the result sets of the portions of the queries (local table access)

It takes some skill to identify these things and code a subquery appropriately. Fortunately, query transformation will make a lot of these considerations for you and rewrite the query you've written no matter how you write it (except joins).



Joins are preferred. This is due to the fact that the table access sequence is more flexible, the index choices more dynamic, and transitive closure more apparent. In other words, a subquery is likely to be transformed into a join if it is determined that there are good indexes to support first access to either table. Sometimes a sort will be introduced to avoid duplicates in the result set.



This very cool example shows a non-correlated subquery rewritten as a correlated subquery. In addition, DB2 was able to use transitive closure to add a redundant predicate against the employee table.

In this particular case DB2 is trying to accommodate what can be a rather inefficient subquery. The original query has to access the department table first, however there is a local predicate on the MGRNO column. This is the same column that is used on the right side of the predicate against the EMP table in the outer portion of the query. DB2 can apply transitive closure to create a local predicate against the EMP table EMP table EMPNO column. Since the EMPNO column is a unique primary key on the EMP table it is probably more efficient to access that table first. So DB2 rewrites the non-correlated query, which would have accessed the DEPT table first, into a correlated subquery, which will access the EMP table first.



This particular example shows a non-correlated subquery transformed into a join. This is probably due to the fact that there are no local predicates. DB2 then wants to have the ability to access either table first in the table access sequence and a join will enable this.

What is not shown here is that the join will have to contain a sort to eliminate duplicates. Most likely the DEPT table is access first, which is the opposite of the original query.



DB2 can transform subqueries many difference ways, and typically you do not have control over this. It attempts to make the best decision based upon table and indexes designs, as well as statistics.

There are 2 types of subquery transformations - 1) QST rewrite from subquery to join, which only happens in limited situations (for example, non-correlated subquery is unique, or simple correlated unique or duplicate), and 2) by APS (with help from QST). If QST does the rewrite to join, then APS cannot undo this. If APS sees a subquery (QST didn't rewrite), then this is where it may cost the correlated and non-correlated form.



There are many situations in which subqueries are not transformed. If you want to defeat subquery transformation you may want to try some of this. I recommend against doing that, but there are always exceptions.



DB2 query transformation aggressively merges nested table expressions and views referenced in a query into the text of the query. This is because it relies on the basic idea that merging an expression into a query is more efficient than materializing the view or table expression.



In this simple example a table expression that reads the DEPT sample table is referenced in an outer SELECT. DB2 query transformation determines that there is nothing in the table expression (aggregate function, non-deterministic function or expression, DISTINCT, and more) that would force it to have to materialize the expression. Therefore, the table expression is merged with the outer statement and sent on to optimization.



In this example there is an ORDER BY in the table expression which results in a sort of the data because no index exists to support sort avoidance. Thus a merge cannot be avoided and the table expression will be materialized.



Here is the same query as the previous slide, but I've added an ORDER BY ORDER OF clause as part of the final query. Query transformation will take the ORDER of clause and the ORDER BY statement that it references, and add another ORDER BY clause. This results in this statement ordering twice instead of once.

It is important to not that an ORDER OF clause is not useful for avoiding a sort, and will probably introduce additional sorts.



This is an example of a situation in which merge may not be such a good thing. With the speed of processing improvements to sorts and workfile management sorts and materialization are not as expensive as they were in previous releases of DB2. When a view or table expression is merged with the outer statement that references it that merge is literal. Everything that is referenced in the table expression is merged with whatever references it in the outer statement. In this example there exists a reference to a couple of built-in DB2 functions. Since no rule for materialization was found in the table expression DB2 determines it can be merged to the outer statement. The outer statement has multiple references to the result of the expression in the referenced nested table expression. That particular expression, the execution of the two DB2 built-in functions, is then repeated for each reference to the result in the outer statement during rewrite. What ultimately happens in this case is that a series of functions that are coded once in the original statement are executed three times per row in the rewritten statement. In the case of complex embedded expressions with many references to generated data you may want to consider forcing materialization of the nested table expression. I typically do this by adding a RAND() function within the table expression. DB2 11 for z/OS has introduced a potential performance improvement to repeated executions of expressions in merged nested table expressions with the introduction of a feature called "expression sharing". This feature is currently activated via a hidden installation parameter, QUERY REWRITE DEGREE, which is set to 1 by default. Setting the value to 2 will enable expression sharing and enable the reuse of expression results in the outer portion of the query. This would, in effect, get all of the advantages of merge without the overhead of repeated expression execution. Keep in mind that this is a hidden installation parameter that applies to the entire subsystem and should be tested with extreme caution and under the guidance of IBM to see if it will help in your environment.





DB2 query transformation has the ability to now match data types for mismatched columns. This can happen for host variables as well as for joined columns.

In this particular example we are joining two tables together by columns that do not match data types. Query transformation will introduce a CAST expression to cast the data types to a compatibility. This also can influence the table access sequence, as the optimizer will want to access the table with the expression on the join column first in order to use an index to the table without the expression on its join column. Your results may vary and the query transformation component may as well take indexes into consideration when determining which column to CAST.

Table Jo	Din on Mismatched Data T	pes Danl			
 You or reduce the sequence of the seq	can choose to cast yourself and E ndantly cast (meaning you) can influence table ence	DB2 will not e access			
– Be	 Be careful when casting as you can possibly truncate 				
Before					
SELECT E.LAS FROM EMP H INNER JOIN DEPT ON E.LAS	STNAME E D STNAME = CAST(D.DEPTNAME AS VARCHAR(15))				
		After			
	SELECT E.LASTNAME FROM EMP E INNER JOIN DEPT D ON E.LASTNAME = CAST(D.DEPTNAME AS VAR	RCHAR(15))			
8/16/2015	© 2015 DanL Database Consulting - presented August 2015	32			

You can defeat the introduction of CAST expressions for mismatched data types in query transformation by introducing your own CAST expression to do the conversion. In this way you do two things:

- 1. You defeat the introduction of a CAST expression by query transformation
- 2. You influence the table access sequence by forcing access to the table on which the CAST expression has been coded first.

This technique is a strong influence on both query transformation and the optimizer.

Simple V	iew Merge	Dan Database Consulting
 The treference 	ext of a view is merged with the encing statement	
 This i 	is very similar to table expression	
merg	e	
View Definition		
CREATE VIEW V SELECT ALL EM FROM EMP ;	YEMP AS IPNO , FIRSTNME , MIDINIT , LASTNAME , WORKDEPT	
Before		
SELECT LASTNA FROM VEMP	ME	After
2	SELECT EMP.LASTNAME FROM EMP	
8/16/2015	© 2015 DanL Database Consulting - presented August 2015	33

In much a similar way to the merging of nested table expressions, views are literally merged into the text of the statement that references them.

This simple example demonstrates the merging of a view on the EMP table into the statement that references it. The existence of the view is not even apparent in the rewritten query.

Join to Vie	ew with Transitive Closure Applied	Database Consulting
 For an common Allows with be 	inner join DB2 will use transitive closur on predicate to both tables for either table to be chosen first in acc fore join filtering	re to apply a cess path
Before SELECT D.DEN FROM DEPT INNER JOIN VEMP ON D.DEPTNO AND D.DEPTNO	PTNAME, E.LASTNAME D E = E.WORKDEPT D = 'A00'	After
	SELECT D.DEPTNAME , EMP.LASTNAME FROM DEPT AS D , EMP WHERE (D.DEPTNO = 'A00' AND EMP.WORKDEPT = 'A00' AND D.DEPTNO = EMP.WORKDEPT)	Alter
8/16/2015	© 2015 DanL Database Consulting - presented August 2015	34

This example demonstrates two things; view merge and predicate transitive closure. Here the original query joins the department table to the VEMP view on the department number column. Query transformation first merges the view into the referencing statement, and then predicate transitive closure is applied. Since there is a local predicate on the department table, D.DEPTNO = 'A00', and a join predicate joining that column to the employee table, D.DEPTNO=E.WORKDEPT (via the view), DB2 query transformation is able to introduce a redundant predicate against the employee table. This redundant predicate, AND EMP.WORKDEPT='A00', allows the optimizer to pick with table is access first depending upon table size and available indexes. More access path choices means more potential query performance.

Predicate transitive closure in this case is possible due to the fact that this is an inner join.



In the case of an outer join DB2 cannot apply transitive closure for the D.DEPTNO predicate. This is because if that predicate was applied to the EMP table it would eliminate the chance of null values for the EMP table in the join and that eliminates the query as an outer join, which can change the query result. Since query accuracy is paramount then transitive closure cannot be applied.

I sometimes convert inner joins to outer joins if the result will not be impacted and I need to influence the table access sequence and reduce the chance for predicate transitive closure to be applied. This is when I'm doing specific performance tuning and want DB2 to pick the outer table (table on left side of a left outer join for example) first in the table join sequence.



Transitive closure is not available to LIKE, IN, and subqueries in DB2 9 for z/OS. For DB2 10 and 11 In-lists are available for transitive closure.

I sometimes introduce a local predicate that is not available for transitive closure in order to avoid transitive closure and influence table access sequence, especially for inner joins.



When you access a table expression or view that contains a UNION ALL you must be very careful. It is a balance between materialization, merge, and redundant table access. Every query is different and so these types of queries should always be examined in great detail to see exactly what DB2 query transformation and optimization is doing with these queries, and possibly changing them to improve the performance.



In this example the EMP table is joined to two other tables in a referenced nested table expression. How many times is the EMP table accessed in this statement? Well, if you just looked at the original query you would think once, but the rewritten query shows that the table expression has been merged with the outer statement that references it. This means that materialization of the table expression was avoided, but the access to the EMP table was distributed across both query blocks of the UNION. Thus the EMP table is actually accessed twice in the query execution!



In addition to joins being distributed across query blocks of a UNION in a table expression or view, predicates can also be distributed as well.



In this specific example the original query had a join from the EMP table to a table expression containing a UNION of two tables. There was also a local predicate coded against the EMP table that happened to also be the join column. DB2 query transformation is able to utilize join distribution, predicate distribution, and predicate transitive closure in this query. This gives the optimizer even more chances to pick the correct table access sequence for each of the joins on either side of the UNION. In addition, the optimizer can also apply a concept called query block pruning to eliminate any query block that has an impossible set of predicates. In this particular example the second block of the UNION has an impossible compound predicate (A.EMPNO = '000020' and A.EMPNO = '000010') and thus the query block is never executed.

This kind of query transformation and optimization is very useful for temporal queries and data warehouse queries. Although, you do have to be aware of repetitive table access, especially when joining to multiple table expressions or view.



Temporal table design is something people have been doing for years, but has now been automated to a certain extent in DB2 10 for z/OS. This automation takes what would normally be weeks or months or coding in applications and moves that functionality directly into the database engine, which can be implemented in a matter of minutes!











DanL Database Consulting

- Long and short term consulting
- Experience on multiple platforms (z/OS, AIX, UNIX, Windows, Linux)
- DB2 SQL Education (multi-platform)
- DB2 application and database design
- DB2 performance audits